

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

GLADYS ALAS, MARIA CARMEN BABB,  
NORBERTO DUBLAN, ISABEL LUGO, each on  
their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

PLAINTIFFS

v.

CASE NO. 05-5154

ROCKLINE INDUSTRIES, INC.,

DEFENDANT

**ORDER**

NOW on this 26th day of April, 2006, comes for consideration **Defendant's Motion for a Continuance of the Date to Submit Its Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification**, (doc. #15) filed by Paul Alvin Gilker with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System on April 25, 2006, and the Court being well and sufficiently advised, finds as follows:

1. The Defendant, Rockline, Industries, Inc., respectfully requests that the Court grant its Motion for an additional two weeks beyond the current due date for it to file its response to the Plaintiff's motion for class certification.
2. The time for the Defendant's response has not passed. The response is currently due to be filed on April 29, 2006.
3. This Motion and extension is made in good faith and not a delay, but to provide sufficient time for the Defendant to respond appropriately to the Plaintiff's motion for certification of a class.
4. The undersigned has conferred with lead counsel for the Plaintiffs, Charles M. Kester, and

he has no objection.

5. The Defendants are allowed an extension for the filing of its Motion for a Continuance of the Date to Submit Its Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification up to and through May 15, 2006.

**It is so ORDERED.**

/s/ Jimm Larry Hendren  
JIM LARRY HENDREN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE